

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/853,591	05/14/2001	Meng-Huang Liu	4006-122	6739
7590 11/23/2004 LOWE HAUPTMAN GOPSTEIN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP Suite 310 1700 Diagonal Road			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, DANNY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, V			2836	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/853,591	LIU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Danny Nguyen	2836			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Oc	<u>ctober 2004</u> .	•			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1.3-23 and 25-28 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 7-23,25 and 28 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-5 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/18/2004 with respect to amended claims 1 and 7 have been considered. In view of these arguments, claim 7 is allowed and claim1 is moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voldman (USPN 5,625,280) in view of Walker (USPN 4,887,021).

Regarding claims 1, 4, Voldman discloses an electrostatic discharge (ESD) protection device (fig. 3 and 4), applied to a mixed voltage circuit assembly with a first power supply that has a high voltage source (Vcc) and a low voltage source (Vss) and a second power supply that has a high voltage source (Vdd) and a low voltage source (the common ground Vss), the device comprises an RC controlled circuit subassembly (22 shown in fig. 3), coupled with the mixed voltage circuit assembly, utilizing for substantially controlling the ESD protection device to be ON or OFF, wherein the RC controlled circuit subassembly comprising a resistance (resistor 41 shown in fig. 4), one end of the resistance being coupled to the high voltage source of the first power supply (Vcc); and a capacitor (capacitor 42), one end of the capacitor coupled to the low

Application/Control Number: 09/853,591

Art Unit: 2836

pplication/control (4dmbc): 03/033,03

voltage source of the second power supply (Vss) and the other end coupled to the resistance, a first transistor (NMOS 31), the drain coupled to the first power supply (Vcc) and the source coupled to the second power supply (Vdd), and the gate is coupled to the RC controlled circuit (22) for providing current route between the first power supply (Vcc) and the second power supply (Vdd) on an ESD event (e.g. col. 5 and 6, lines 66-10). Voldman does not disclose the first transistor is PMOS as claimed. Walker teaches that NMOS transistor replaces PMOS transistor (col. 9, lines 39-42). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the NMOS transistor of Voldman to use the PMOS transistor as disclosed by Walker because the PMOS transistor provides small layout area and low power consumption.

Regarding claim 3, Voldman and Walker disclose the values of resistor (31) and the capacitor (42) of the RC circuit (22) are chosen to provide a predetermined time constant (col. 5, lines 48-50), but Voldman and Walker do not disclose that the time constant of the RC circuit is about 0.1 to 10 microsecond as claimed. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the values of the resistor and capacitor to any desired value as long as it compatible with the requirements of other elements in the circuit in order to properly performs the delay function to protect ESD event. It has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Art Unit: 2836

3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voldman in view of Walker, and Ker et al. Voldman and Walker disclose all limitations of claims 1 and 4 as discussed above, but Voldman and Walker do not the disclose the PMOS transistor as claimed. Ker discloses an ESD protection device wherein the first PMOS transistor is further located in a first N-well and the N-well is coupled to power supply (See fig. 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the PMOS transistor of Voldman AND Walker to incorporate the PMOS transistor located in N-well as disclosed by Ker in order to reduce cost and layout area.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 7—23 and 25-28 are allowed

Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claim 6 discloses, inter alia, an electrostatic discharge protection device, applied to a mixed voltage circuit assembly, the device comprises a RC controlled circuit subassembly and a first transistor wherein the RC Controlled circuit comprises a resistance and a capacitance and further comprises a second transistor in a specific configuration.

Application/Control Number: 09/853,591 Page 5

Art Unit: 2836

The references of record do not teach or suggest the aforementioned limitation, nor would it be obvious to modify those references to include such limitation.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danny Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)-272-2054. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (571)-272-2058. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2836

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DN

DN 11/16/2004

> STEPHEN W. JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER